

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/914,255	08/24/2001	Jean- Louis Gerstenmayer	212701US	2236	
22850 7	7590 08/19/2004		EXAMINER		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			LEE, SHUN K		
1940 DUKE S' ALEXANDRI	TREET A, VA 22314		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			2878		
				DATE MAILED: 08/19/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	01
Advisory Action	09/914,255	GERSTENMAYER	ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Shun Lee	2878	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 03 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Applexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this applied (1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper re ich places the appli	ply to a cation in
PERIOD FOR F	REPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorter (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three re earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of SILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. ension and the corresponding amount of the ed statutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. Solution in the suppropriate of the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellar 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 C			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require fur	ther consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note			
(c) they are not deemed to place the applicatio issues for appeal; and/or	n in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cand	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.
NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rej	ection(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wou canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ıld be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely file	d amendment
5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does No	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered by raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	/s:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: 28,36 and 37.			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>18-27,29-35 and 38</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ a	pproved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

10. Other: See Continuation Sheet

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). <u>0804</u>.

CONSTANTINE HANNAHER

PRIMARY EXAMINER

GROUP ART UNIT 2878

Continuation of 10. Other: It is noted that the reply filed on 3 August 2004 consists of substantially an IDS.